

SCHOOL OF EDUCATION SATISFACTION SURVEYS LAST UPDATED: JANUARY 2021

Tables 1 – 9 displays the results for questions related to program preparation. Overall, supervisors and mentors reported that teacher candidates were "sufficiently prepared" or "well prepared" (responded either "3" or "4" on a four-point scale), similarly teacher candidates self-reported they were "sufficiently prepared" or "well prepared." Beginning with Fall 2020 (first semester of teaching in the Virtual Learning Environment, the data reported suggest that supervisors identified the following areas as opportunities for potential growth: TEAM understanding, assessments, supporting the learning of all students, classroom management, and professional growth.

					Tabl	e 1: Teacher	Candidate Com	petencies							
		F	all 2019				Spri	ng 2020					Fall 2020		
Teacher candidate identifies and understands the competency areas of an effective teacher (TEAM/ TN Standards).	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	%3 or 4	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.14	100%	15	14	1.09	3.58	96%	27	24	.58	2.90	71%	27	21	.70
Mentor	3.57	93%	20	14	.64	3.58	88%	19	17	.71	3.71	100%	11	7	.49
Teacher Candidate	3.5	100%	5	2	.70										

					Table	2: Teacher Ca	ndidate Conten	t Knowled	ge						
		F	all 2019				Spri	ng 2020					Fall 2020		
Teacher candidate demonstrates the content knowledge needed by an effective teacher.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	%3 or 4	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.43	100%	15	14	.51	3.83	100%	27	24	.38	3	81%	27	21	.63
Mentor	3.57	100%	20	14	.51	3.47	88%	19	17	.87	3.86	100%	11	7	.38
Teacher Candidate	3.5	100%	5	2	.70	3.05	89%	19	18	1.05	3.67	100%	11	9	.49

				Table 3	: Teacher C	andidate	Use of Techn	ology							
		F	all 19				Spi	ring 2020				Fal	l of 2020		
Teacher candidate effectively uses technology for instruction.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.36	86%	15	14	.74	3.70	100%	27	24	.46	3.33	81%	27	21	.80
Mentor	3.14	86%	20	14	.66	3.41	94%	19	17	.61	3.71	100%	11	7	.49
Teacher Candidate	4	100%	5	2	0	2.88	61%	19	18	1.23	3.11	100%	11	9	.76

				Table 4: 1	Feacher Can	ididate As	sessment Kno	owledge							
		Fa	ll 2019				Spi	ring 2020				Fa	all 2020		
Teacher candidate knows how to monitor student achievement with formal and informal assessments.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.21	93%	15	14	.58	3.41	91%	27	24	.65	2.62	57%	27	21	.59
Mentor	3.35	93%	20	14	.63	3.41	88%	19	17	.87	3.86	100%	11	7	.38
Teacher Candidate	3.5	100%	5	2	.70	3.11	83%	19	18	1.13	3.44	100%	11	9	.53

				Table 5: Te	acher Cand	idate Sup	port of All Le	arners							
		Fa	ll 2019				Spr	ing 2020				Fa	all 2020		
Teacher candidate demonstrates that she/he can support the learning of all students (i.e., exceptionalities, diverse ethnic/racial, linguistic, gender, SES).	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.07	86%	15	14	.62	3.5	96%	27	24	.58	2.8	71%	27	21	.50
Mentor	2.92	79%	20	14	.61	3.29	82%	19	17	.77	3.71	100%	11	7	.49
Teacher Candidate	3.5	100%	5	2	.70	3.17	67%	19	18	1.18	3.56	100%	11	9	.49

				Table 6: T	eacher Ca	ndidate Cla	ssroom Mana	gement							
		Fal	2019				Spri	ng 2020				F	all 2020		
Teacher candidate demonstrates effective classroom management strategies.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.29	93%	15	14	.61	3.29	92%	27	24	.90	2.81	76%	27	21	.51
Mentor	3.5	100%	15	14	.51	3.47	88%	19	17	.87	3.83	100%	11	7	.41
Teacher Candidate	3.5	100%	5	2	.70	3.22	72%	19	18	1.17	3.67	100%	11	9	.49

				Table 7:	Teacher O	Candidate Kn	owledge of Prof	essional G	rowth Need	ds					
		F	all 2019				Spri	ng 2020					Fall 2020		
Teacher candidate knows his/her strengths and limitations regarding teacher knowledge, skills, and dispositions for their professional growth	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor		3.21	86%	15	14	3.54	96%	27	24	.58	2.81	76%	27	21	.51
Mentor		3.92	100%	20	14	3.88	100%	19	17	.33	3.85	100%	11	7	.38
Teacher Candidate		4	100%	5	2	3.33	94%	19	18	.97	3.56	100%	11	9	.53

				Table 8: T	eacher Can	didate Pro	fessional Der	neanor							
		Fa	ll 2019				Spi	ring 2020				Fa	all 2020		
Teacher candidate demonstrates a positive professional demeanor and commitment to the professional during clinical practice.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.5	93%	15	14	.65	3.79	96%	27	24	.50	3.52	90%	27	21	.68
Mentor	3.92	86%	20	14	.26	3.52	94%	19	17	1	3.71	100%	11	7	.49
Teacher Candidate	4	100%	5	2	0	3.55	94%	19	18	.98	3.67	100%	11	9	.49

				Table 9	: Teacher Ca	andidate P	rogram Prep	aration							
		Fa	ll 2019				Sp	ring 2020				F	all 2020		
Teacher candidate's program prepared them with necessary skills to become a successful teacher.	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 3 or 4 (Sufficiently Prepared or Well Prepared)	NS	NR	SD
Supervisor	3.43	93%	15	14	.65	3.75	100%	27	24	.44	3.24	81%	27	23	.77
Mentor	3.78	100%	20	14	.42	3.70	94%	19	17	.58	3.71	100%	11	7	.49
Teacher Candidate	4	100%	5	2	0	3.33	89%	19	18	1.02	3.44	100%	11	9	.79

Satisfaction: University Supervisor Table 10 and 11 displays the results for questions related to how satisfied student teachers and mentors were with the university supervisor. According to teacher candidates and mentors in fall of 19 and spring of 20, they reported their University Supervisor provided frequent visits and feedback (score of 4 on a 4 point scale with 4 being frequent, 2 rarely, 3 occasionally, and 1 not at all); however, with the beginning of teaching in the Virtual Learning Environment in fall of 2020, the data showed an opportunity to improve the supports provided by the University Supervisor.

				Tabl	e 11 : Mento	r Supervis	sor Satisfacti	on							
		F	all 2019				Sp	oring 2020)			F	all 2020		
	Mean	% 4 (Frequent)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4 (Frequent)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4 (Frequent)	NS	NR	SD
The University Supervisor provided feedback regarding teacher candidate's progress and performance.	2.78	29%	15	14	.97	3.64	76%	19	17	.70	3.71	71%	11	7	.49
The University Supervisor made bi-weekly visits to provide support.	2.86	36%	15	14	1.06	3.64	94%	19	17	.60	3.57	71%	11	7	.79

				Table 10	Teacher C	andidate S	Supervisor Sat	isfaction							
		Fa	all 2019				Spi	ring 2020				Fa	all 2020		
	Mean	% 4	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4	NS	NR	SD
		(Frequently)					(Frequently)					(Frequently)			
My University Supervisor provided feedback regarding my progress and performance.	4	100%	5	2	0	3.38	94%	19	18	1.28	3.33	44%	11	9	.53
My University Supervisor made bi-weekly visits to provide support.	4	100%	5	2	0	3.27	89%	19	18	1.32	3.55	56%	11	9	.53

Satisfaction: Mentor Teacher Table 12 displays the results for questions about the teacher candidate's satisfaction with their mentor teacher. While some areas showed an increase from fall of 19- fall of 20. Other areas saw a decrease, such as mentor teachers positive professional demeanor and commitment to the profession and opportunities for various levels of responsibilities. Given the abrupt change to teaching in the virtual learning environment, as well as through discussions with our partners, this did not come unexpected.

				Table 12	2 : Teacher C	andidate	Mentor Satisfa	action							
		F	all 2019				Spr	ing 2020				Fa	all 2020		
	Mean	% 4 (Frequently)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4 (Frequently)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4 (Frequently)	NS	NR	SD
My mentor teacher provided feedback regarding my progress and performance.	3.5	100%	5	2	.70	2.83	61%	19	18	1.33	3.56	78%	11	9	1.13
My mentor teacher modeled the qualities of an effective educator (TEAM 4+).	4	100%	5	2	0	3.27	78%	19	18	1.31	3.67	78%	11	9	.79
My mentor teacher effectively used technology for instruction	3	50%	5		1.41	3.38	89%	19	18	1.28	3.67	78%	11	9	.79
My mentor teacher provided opportunities for various levels of responsibility (i.e., planning, assessment, instruction, management).	4	100%	5	2	0	3.55	94%	19	18	.78	3.44	56%	11	9	.79
My mentor teacher demonstrated a positive professional demeanor and commitment to the profession.	4	100%	5	2	0	3.5	89%	19	18	.85	3.33	67%	11	9	1.25

				Table	e 13 : Supei	visor Me	ntor Satisfacti	on							
	Fall 2019					Spring 2020					Fall 2020				
	Mean	% 4 (Frequently)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4 (Frequently)	NS	NR	SD	Mean	% 4 (Frequently)	NS	NR	SD
The mentor teacher provided feedback regarding my progress and performance.	2.57	7%	15	14	.94	3.47	96%	19	24	.61	2.77	23%	17	13	1.01
The mentor teacher modeled the qualities of an effective educator (TEAM 4+).	3.43	67%	15	14	.76	3.8	96%	19	24	.89	3.31	62%	17	13	1.18
The mentor teacher effectively used technology for instruction	3.57	75%	15	14	.65	3.84	100%	19	24	.37	3.42	46%	17	13	.67
The mentor teacher provided opportunities for various levels of responsibility (i.e., planning, assessment, instruction, management).	3.54	58%	15	14	.66	3.89	100%	19	24	.31	3.38	69%	17	13	.96
The mentor teacher demonstrated a positive professional demeanor and commitment to the profession.	3.79	92%	15	14	.43	3.5	96%	19	24	1.23	3.58	69%	17	13	.67

Satisfaction: Supervisor Mentor Table 13 displays the results for questions about the Supervisor's satisfaction with their mentor teacher.